Document 40

Filed 03/14/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:07-cv-02391-JAH-POR

28

Defendants object to paragraphs 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 (and exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8) of the
Declaration of Adam Welland on the grounds that there is no showing that the ads
were aired or shown in Southern California including Los Angeles and San Diego. The
statements of Mr. Welland and the photographs and pictures are irrelevant and lack
foundation that the photographs and pictures were shown in the relevant geographic
area (where Defendant's product (Angelite) was sold largely at swap meets). One of
the ads has the date 3-8-07 which indicates that Angel Soft is only recently entering
the Spanish market (and this may not even be in Southern California). Another
photograph (Exhibit 5) has the date 1205 stamped over the printed indication that
the ad was copyrighted by Georgia Pacific in 2007 -leading to the question of
whether the photo was doctored—and, if so, why

Dated: March 13. 2008

KAZANJIAN & MARTINETTI RONALD MARTINETTI, ESQ.

Ronald Martinetti Attorneys for Defendants

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL - (1013a, 2015.5 C.C.P.) STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is 520 E. Wilson Ave., Suite 250, Glendale, California 91206.

On March 14, 2008 I served the foregoing DEFENDANTS OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF ADAM WELLAND FILED IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF GEORGIA PACIFIC'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUCTION on all the interested parties in this action as follows:

Stephen P. Swinton, Esq. Adam A. Welland, Esq. Latham & Watkins LLP 12636 High Bluff Drive, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92130-2071 Fax No.: (858) 523-5450

X a true copy

an original

BY PERSONAL DELIVERY/VIA MESSENGER;

X BY ELECTRONIC FILING: I am familiar with the United States District Court, Southern District of California's practice for collecting and processing electronic filings. Under that practice, documents are electronically filed with the court. The court's CM/ECF system will generate a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the filing party, the assigned judge, and any registered users in the case. The NEF will constitute service of the document. Registration as a CM/ECF user constitutes consent to electronic service through the court's transmission facilities. Under said practice the above mentioned parties were served.

PREPAID POSTAGE: I deposited such an envelope in the mail at Glendale, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

U. S. MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with our firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day on the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of any party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing affidavit.

X BY FACSIMILE: On the interested parties in this action pursuant to C.R.C. RULE 2009 (b). The telephone number of the facsimile machine I used was (818) 241-2193. This facsimile machine complies with Rule 2003 (2) of the California Rules of Court. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. The facsimile machine printed out a record indicating that the transmission was successfully completed.

Executed on March 14, 2008, Glendale, California. I declare under penalty and perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Rosa Munoz

2

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28